UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,727	05/03/2005	Maarten Walter Steinmann	FR 020115	4535
	7590 03/10/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		RALEIGH, DONALD L		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/533,727	STEINMANN ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Donald L. Raleigh	2879		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Secondary</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice under Expression is the practice of the practice of the practice under Expression is the practice of the pract	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s) filed on 03 May 2005 is/are: a) The drawing(s)	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r.	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the orection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the contraction is objected to be the Expression of the contraction of the contr	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/6/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Dulmen et al (US Patent No. 6,764,328) in view of Ishihara (US PG Pub. 2001/0013748) and further in view of Brocheton (US Patent No. 5,932,501)

Regarding Claim 1: Van Dulmen discloses at Col.3, lines 43-46, an electric automotive signal lamp (Column 1, lines 7-9) having a glass envelope, the glass composition comprising barium between 7-11%; strontium between 1-5%; and SiO₂ between 60-72%.

Van Dulmen fails to disclose copper between 0.1 and 2% and tin between 0.1 and 2% by weight.

Ishihara teaches in paragraph [0004], lines 1-2, the use of a bulb for an automobile tail light. Paragraph [0006], lines 1-5 teaches that the glass of this bulb can be red in color and Cu can be one of the colorants, furthermore, paragraph [0017], lines

4-5 lists copper oxide as one of the color developing compounds. The colored glass is used in lamps such as indicator lamps or tail/stop lamp of an automobile.

Ishihara fails to exemplify percentage of tin component and Cu colorant applied to the colored glass.

In the same field of endeavor, Brocheton discloses in Column 8, Table 1, the use of percentages of Tin (SnO₂) of 2% and CuO of 0.5% as coloring compounds, at Column 6, lines 6-17 Brocheton teaches the use tin in the disclosed percentage along will reduce copper to its metallic form, producing a strong red coloration of the glass.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to apply the teachings of Ishihara and Brocheton to the invention of Van Dulmen and provide the above percentages, in order to produce a red coloration of the glass bulb for use in the automobile tail light of Ishihara.

Regarding claim 2, Van Dulmen discloses the electric automobile lamp of claim 1 with glass compositions in the table below except for the percentages of CuO of 0.1-2% or SnO_2 of 0.1-2%.

SiG ₂	80~72
ΑΪχΰχ	15
Li _x O	0.5-1.5
3830	5-9
K\$O	3~7
Mão	32
Cao	3-3
820	7~2
Bed	7-11
Cut	9.1-2
Sto	0.1~3

Ishihara teaches in paragraph [0004], lines 1-2, the use of a bulb for an automobile tail light. Paragraph [0006], lines 1-5 teaches that the glass of this bulb can be red in color and Cu can be one of the colorants, furthermore, paragraph [0017], lines 4-5 lists copper oxide as one of the color developing compounds. The colored glass is used in lamps such as indicator lamps or tail/stop lamp of an automobile.

Ishihara fails to exemplify percentage of tin component and Cu colorant applied to the colored glass.

In the same field of endeavor, Brocheton discloses in Column 8, Table 1, the use of percentages of Tin (SnO₂) of 2% and CuO of 0.5% as coloring compounds, at Column 6, lines 6-17 Brocheton teaches the use tin in the disclosed percentage along will reduce copper to its metallic form, producing a strong red coloration of the glass.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to apply the teachings of Ishihara and Brocheton to the invention of Van Dulmen and provide the above percentages, in order to produce a red coloration of the glass bulb for use in the automobile tail light of Ishihara.

Conclusion

Examiner's note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,727 Page 5

Art Unit: 2879

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DONALD L. RALEIGH whose telephone number is (571)270-3407. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM to 5:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Donald L Raleigh/ Examiner, Art Unit 2879

/Mariceli Santiago/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2879